

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/501,301	Applicant(s) MELLO ET AL.	
	Examiner Timothy C. Vanoy	Art Unit 1754	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Gregory Bondarenko, applicants' attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2007
Time: 9:30 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
 10


Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exm'r. requested authorization to cancel claim 10 via an Examiner's Amendment. The attorney granted authorization for entering this proposed Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)